

February 13, 2018

Grady Minor & Associates, Inc. Wayne Arnold 3800 Via Del Rey Bonita Springs, FL 34134

RE: PUDA-PL20160002306; Pine Ridge Commons

Dear Mr. Arnold,

The following comments regarding the above referenced project that was submitted on 1-11-18, are being provided as requested. Please be aware that this is not a comprehensive list and is only being provided as a courtesy. All reviews must be completed prior to resubmittal.

Rejected Review: Comprehensive Planning Review; Reviewed By: Sue Faulkner

1. GMPA must be approved ahead of PUDA in order to be consistent. The PUDA Ordinance needs to contain an effective date limited to the effective date of the companion GMPA. Also, some revisions to the PUD document are requested as noted under "Review of PUD Documents".

Rejected Review: Environmental Review; Reviewed By: Summer Araque

1. REPEAT COMMENT - Revise PUD document to address the preserve requirement. Include the following Environmental Commitment:

The PUD Preserve requirement of 1.47 acres was calculated with the Pine Ridge Commons Site Development Plan, that included the preserve calculation for the entire PUD. The 1.47 acres of required preservation was based off the commercial development preservation standard of fifteen (15) percent of the existing native vegetation. With the proposed addition of residential use to the PUD, a preservation standard of twenty-five (25) percent would be required. The PUD will continue to provide 15% preservation as identified on the master concept plan.

Rejected Review: County Attorney Review; Reviewed By: Heidi Ashton-Cicko

1. Based on the number of changes to the PUD document and recent comments from the CCPC, please provide the entire PUD document with strike-thru's and underlines for changed text. As of 2-2-18, there is still missing text.

2. Please make changes per my comments dated October 26, 2017, to be provided by the planner. As of 2-2-18, there are still outstanding comments.

3. Please make the changes per my 2-2-18 comments, to be provided by the planner. These are the same comments as my October 26, 2017 review and a few typos.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

- 1. Additional comments or stipulations may be forthcoming once a sufficient application has been submitted for review. This correspondence should not be construed as a position of support or non-support for any issues within the petition. Staff will analyze the petition and the recommendation will be contained in the staff report prepared for the Collier County Planning Commission.
- 2. Please be advised that pursuant to the LDC, an application can be considered closed if there has been no activity on the application for a period of six (6) months. That six months period will be calculated from the date of this letter.
- 3. Please ensure that all members of your review team that may testify before the CCPC and the BCC are registered as lobbyists with the county pursuant to the regulations regarding that issue.
- 4. When addressing review comments, please provide a cover letter outlining your response to each comment. Include a response to all comments.
- 5. Please put revised dates on all exhibits <u>and</u> in the title block of the Site Plan. The PUD document should include a footer that reflects the project name, petition number, date and page X of Y for the entire document. *Documents without this information will be rejected.*
- 6. A partial resubmittal cannot be accepted; please do not resubmit until you can respond to ALL review comments.
- 7. Public hearings cannot be held until a Neighborhood Information Meeting (NIM) has been held. Please note that the NIM must be held at least 15 days prior to the first hearing. Please be aware of the following items:
 - a) Please provide the required affidavit and its attachments prior to the meeting (in compliance with the LDC); and
 - b) Please post signs to direct attendees to the exact meeting location; and
 - c) Please ensure that there is sound amplification equipment available and working for this meeting. If there is no permanent equipment, please bring a tested/working portable microphone; and
 - a) You must provide a written synopsis of the meeting that includes a list of all questions and answers as well as providing the audio/video tape; and
 - a) Please prepare documents for hand out to all NIM attendees and the public hearing file, that show the differences in the uses that would be allowed in the existing and proposed zoning districts. This request is based upon recent CCPC direction.
 - a) NOTE: It appears that you conducted a NIM on April 24, 2017. Please be aware that If the **applicant's** petition activity extends beyond 1 year from the date of the first NIM, a second NIM will be required and shall be noticed in accordance with the Admin Code.

8. Note the adopted fee schedule requires payment of additional fees for petitions that require more than four resubmittals; please contact the appropriate staff and resolve issues to avoid this fee.

Timothy Finn, AICP Principal Planner Cc: D. Wayne Arnold, Grady Minor and Associates, P.A., Richard D. Yovanovich, Coleman, Yovanovich & Koester, P.A., Sue Faulkner, Summer Araque, Heidi Ashton-Cicko